Performance of Large Grain and Single Crystal Niobium Cavities

<u>P. Kneisel</u>, G. Ciovati, G.R.Myneni, Jlab J. Sekutowicz, DESY T. Carneiro, CBMM

Jlab/CBMM Technology(1)

- Development started with the need for understanding mechanical properties of niobium from different manufacturers (G. Myneni)
- Ingot material supplied by CBMM with large grains (T. Carneiro)
- Mechanical properties -especially elongation excellent, permitting forming of cavity cells
- Investigate influence of grain boundaries on "Q-drop"

Comparison of Single and Poly Crystal RRR niobium



July 12, 2005

Jlab/CBMM Technology(2)

- Since the first ILC workshop we have fabricated and tested <u>5 single cell cavities</u> (1300 MHz - 1500 MHz) from sliced material (wire EDM and saw cut) from 3 different ingots ("A","B","C"),3 different shapes, CBMM
- We have fabricated and tested <u>2 single crystal cavities</u> from ingot "A" at 2.3 GHz, CBMM
- We have fabricated <u>two 2.3 GHz cavities</u> with material from a second vendor (WC) with somewhat smaller grains (not yet tested)
- We have fabricated <u>a single cell cavity</u> from large grain niobium from China-Ningxia (not yet tested)
- We have fabricated a <u>7-cell HG -Jlab-Upgrade cavity</u>, which has been tested with problems so far (leaks, FE)
- We are in the process of fabricating an <u>ILC_LL 7-cell cavity</u> and intend to present results at the Snowmass meeting

Jlab/CBMM Technology(3) Ingot "B"

HG Single Cell Cavity - "Single Crystal "-B Q₀ vs. E_{acc}



July 12, 2005

Jlab/CBMM Technology(4)

Ingot "A"

HG Single Cell Cavity - "Single Crystal "-A Q_0 vs. E_{acc}



July 12, 2005

SRF 2005, Cornell University

Jlab/CBMM Technology(5)

Discs from Ingot



Cavity $E_{peak}/E_{acc} = 1.674$ $H_{peak}/E_{acc} = 4.286 \text{ mT/MV/m}$



July 12, 2005



Single Crystal Niobium Cavity (2)

Test #2: T-dependence (before baking)

2.2 GHz Single crystal single cell cavity after post-purification, 70mm BCP 1:1:1, 30min HPR



Single Crystal Niobium Cavity (3)

Test #1b: Treatment 100 μm BCP, 800C hydrogen degassing, 100 μm BCP, high pressure rinsing, "in situ" baked at 120C for 48 hrs



Single Crystal Niobium Cavity (4)

Test #2: post-purification heat treatment at 1250 C for 10 hrs, 100 μ m BCP ,high pressure rinsing

2.2 GHz Single crystal single cell cavity after postpurification Q₀ vs. E_{acc}



Jlab/CBMM Technology(6)

Nb Discs



LL cavity 2.3GHz

 $E_{peak}/E_{acc} = 2.072$ $H_{peak}/E_{acc} = 3.56 \text{ mT/MV/m}$



July 12, 2005

Jlab/CBMM Technology(7) ILC_LL Cavities: no Q-drop w/o baking

	Large Grain ILC_LL_Cavity								
	1.00E+12 -					◆T=1.8K ▲T=1.4 ●T=2K	K		
								Test #4	
	1.00E+11 -	Can't follow the resonance!							
	ö 1.00E+10 -						• 		<u> </u>
								Quench	@ 33 MV/m
	1.00E+09 - ()	5	10	15 E ace	20 [MV/m]	25	30	35
	1500 ppm Ta								
T 10 0005	CD F								

July 12, 2005

Surface Roughness (1)

BCP provides very smooth surfaces as measured by A.Wu, Jlab



July 12, 2005

RMS: 1274 nm fine grain bcp 53 nm after ~ 35 micron, single Crys 27 nm after ~ 80 micron, single Crys 251 nm fine grain ep



Surface Roughness (2)(A. Wu)



Jlab/CBMM Technology(8)

With a single cell cavity of the OC shape and fabricated from ingot "A" material we are investigating the "improvements" in cavity performance as a function of material removal employing <u>T-mapping</u> with the goal to:

- understand the loss mechanisms in the cavity, especially in the region of the "Q-drop"
- "streamline" the surface treatment by BCP with respect to the amount of material removal, which might result in cost savings

T-Mapping (1)

T-mapping system: ~600 Allen-Bradley C-resistors











b

July 12, 2005

T-Mapping (2)



T - Mapping(3) Add. 25 micron bcp 1:1:2



 $E_{acc} = 28.5 \text{ MV/m}$ Q = 3.6 x 10⁹





July 12, 2005

Jlab/CBMM Technology(9)

What are the potential advantages of large grain/single crystal niobium?

- Reduced costs
- Comparable performance
- Very smooth surfaces with BCP, no EP necessary
- Possibly elimination of "in situ" baking because of "Q-drop" onset at higher gradients
- Possibly very low residual resistances (high Q's), favoring lower operation temperature(B.Petersen)
- Higher thermal stability because of "Phonon-Peak"
- Good or better mechanical performance than fine grain material (e.g.predictable spring back..)
- Less material QA (eddy current/squid scanning)

Cavities awaiting testingWah ChangChinaCBMM2.2 GHz, HG shape1.5 GHz. OC shape1.3 GHz ILC LL shape





July 12, 2005





SRF 2005, Cornell University

CBMM

Acknowledgement

This work would not have been possible without the support of several colleagues from Jlab:

> Bon Manus Gary Slack Larry Turlington Steve Manning Pete Kushnick Isiah Daniels