Being Excited about The LHC Higgs Search

Yuhsin Tsai

LEPP Experiment/Theory Seminar, 28 Oct 2011



Yuhsin Tsai yt237@cornell.edu

Being excited about the LHC Higgs search

in the easy old times...

in the easy old times...



in the easy old times...





after the experimentalist friends push us so much...



Look at the exclusion bounds

Are we doomed?

We are forced to have a very light or heavy Higgs.

Look at the exclusion bounds

Are we doomed?

We are forced to have a very light or heavy Higgs.

No! We are not

SM / MSSM prefer light ($\sim 120~{ m GeV}$) Higgs.

Yuhsin Tsai yt237@cornell.edu

Being excited about the LHC Higgs search

The jobs of Higgs

- Generates EW symmetry breaking, gives M_W and M_Z
- Maintains the calculability in a higher energy scale
- Gives fermion masses



Higgs in the SM

$\mathcal{L}_{H} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}H + rac{1}{2} \mu^{2} H^{\dagger}H - rac{1}{4} \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + y \overline{\psi}_{L} H \psi_{R}$

- VEV and the Higgs mass
- Gauge boson mass and unitarity
- Fermion masses

Higgs in the SM

$\mathcal{L}_{H} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}H + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{2} H^{\dagger}H - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + y \overline{\psi}_{L} H \psi_{R}$

- VEV and the Higgs mass
- Gauge boson mass and unitarity
- Fermion masses

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 + i w_2 \\ (h + v) + i w_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}, \quad M_h = \sqrt{2\lambda} v$$

Higgs in the SM

$\mathcal{L}_{H} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} H + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H - \frac{1}{4} \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} + y \overline{\psi}_{L} H \psi_{R}$

- VEV and the Higgs mass
- Gauge boson masses and unitarity
- Fermion masses

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 + i w_2 \\ (h + v) + i w_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_W = \frac{g}{2} v, \quad M_Z = \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} v$$

Higgs in the SM

$\mathcal{L}_{H} = rac{1}{2} (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}H + rac{1}{2} \mu^{2} H^{\dagger}H - rac{1}{4} \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + y \overline{\psi}_{L} H \psi_{R}$

- VEV and the Higgs mass
- Gauge boson mass and unitarity
- Fermion masses

$$M_f = y_f \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Previous Experimental Constraints on m_H

By "Gfitter" (A Generic Fitter Project for HEP Model Testing)

- Indirect: Electroweak precision measurement by LEP and SLD
- Direct: CDF and D0



The theory constraints on m_H

The unitarity constraint



The EW sector becomes non-perturbative around TeV scale.



Higgs needs to come in around 1 TeV, which means $M_H \lesssim 1$ TeV.

The theory constraints on m_H

The perturbativity and vacuum stability constraints

$$V(H) = -rac{1}{2}\mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + rac{1}{4}\lambda \left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^2$$
, $M_H^2 = 2\lambda v^2$

one-loop correction of λ :

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\ln\mu} = 24\,\lambda^2 + 12\,\lambda\,\mathbf{y_t}^2 - 6\,\mathbf{y_t}^4 + \text{gauge contributions}$$

- $\lambda(v)$ too big ightarrow non-perturbative
- $\lambda(\mathbf{v})$ too small ightarrow no VEV
- Under control until M_{pl} :

 $130\,\text{GeV} \lesssim M_H \lesssim 180\,\text{GeV}$



Actually, can't really have $\Lambda \rightarrow M_{pl}$ in SM

The naturalness of m_H requires BSM physics

Hierarchy Problem New physics must come in \sim TeV scale

$$M_{H}^{2}(v) = (M_{H}^{2})_{0} + rac{kg^{2}}{16\pi^{2}}\Lambda^{2}$$

Many prominant ideas: SUSY, RS model, Little Higgs,...



The MSSM constraint on m_H

Which also prefers light Higgs

• Two Higgs doublet: light and heavy Higgs

The MSSM constraint on m_H

Which also prefers light Higgs

- Two Higgs doublet: light and heavy Higgs
- Hard to make the light Higgs heavy: the H⁴ term in the Higgs potential is proportional to the gauge coupling (from the D-term only)

The MSSM constraint on m_H

Which also prefers light Higgs

- Two Higgs doublet: light and heavy Higgs
- Hard to make the light Higgs heavy: the H⁴ term in the Higgs potential is proportional to the gauge coupling (from the D-term only)
- The way out: corrections from the (s)top loop

For perturbative y_t : $M_H \lesssim 130$ GeV.

So why remain optimistic?

The LHC bounds move towards the expected M_H region!

- EWPM and CDF+D0: $114 < M_H < 156 \text{ GeV}$
- Unitarity: $M_H \lesssim 1 \text{ TeV}$
- Vacuum stability: $130 \lesssim M_H \lesssim 180 \text{ GeV}$
- MSSM: $M_H \lesssim 130 \, \text{GeV}$



How about if we don't see Higgs at LHC?

This will be very interesting!

There are two possibilities:

- The currently expected decay channels are NOT dominant: e.g. Buried Higgs
- Other stuff generates the masses and preserves the unitarity: Higgsless models

Buried Higgs

B. Bellazzini, C. Csaki, A. Falkowski, and A. Weiler (09)

As have discussed before

SUSY prefers Higgs lighter than the LEP bound (114 GeV)

Ok, let's have it!

In the buried Higgs model:

- Higgs is a pseudo-Goldstone boson from a global symmetry breaking.
- There is an even lighter pGB η coupling to Higgs.
- η mainly decays to gluon though a fermion loop.

Buried Higgs

B. Bellazzini, C. Csaki, A. Falkowski, and A. Weiler (09)



Yuhsin Tsai yt237@cornell.edu

Higgsless model

Do NOT have Higgs in the theory, need some other physics to

- break EW symmetry
- fix the unitarity
- generate mass

Higgsless model

Do NOT have Higgs in the theory, need some other physics to

- break EW symmetry
- fix the unitarity
- generate mass



Going from Higgs to Higgsless

The VEV and M_H can be different:

 $\xi \equiv \frac{v^2}{f^2}$, v: VEV, f: confinement scale in Higgs



Go from Higgs to Higgsless

Take from J.R. Espinosa, C. Grogean and M. Muhlleitner (10) Branching ratio for various ξ 's:



We may look at the wrong decay channels.

Yuhsin Tsai yt237@cornell.edu

Being excited about the LHC Higgs search

Conclusion: The Theory Part

We're optimistic about the LHC Higgs search:

- Many clues for light Higgs in SM / MSSM
- Even more interesting if the current searches don't see Higgs

Conclusion: The Theory Part

We're optimistic about the LHC Higgs search:

- Many clues for light Higgs in SM / MSSM
- Even more interesting if the current searches don't see Higgs

