

Polarization Puzzles in Quasi-2-Body Penguin Decays at BaBar

Yanyan Gao

The Johns Hopkins University

Cornell LEPP Journal Club, April 24, 2009





FCNC: Indirect way to search for new physics
 Polarization puzzle in B→φK*



Spin Flip Suppression and Amplitude Hierarchy

□ Spin Flip Suppression => Amplitude Hierarchy



Naïve SM => $f_L \approx 1$

HFAG: Rare B Decay Parameters: http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/rare/index.html



5

Selected Theoretical Efforts Beyond Naïve SM

□ Within SM: new look at the previously neglected contributions



Calculations suffer large QCD Uncertainties, essentially no prediction power

New Physics : Ad Hoc NP-induced contributions



Four-body Final State Decays Search Window

□ 4-body Final State (FS) $K^* \rightarrow K\pi \phi \rightarrow KK$



7

□ 5-body Final State (FS) $K^* \rightarrow K\pi\pi \phi \rightarrow KK$



The BaBar Experiment at SLAC

The PEP-II Asymmetric B Factory



=> $\beta\gamma$ = 0.56 $\Delta Z \approx 250 \ \mu m$ between the two B

Integrated Luminosity

As of 2008/04/11 00:00



The BaBar Detector



Charged Tracks (e, μ , π , K, p)



13

SVT/DCH dE/dX, especially for tracks with $p_T < 700$ MeV/c

G For pt> 150 MeV/c DIRC measures Cerenkov Radiation Angle θ_c

 $\cos \theta c = 1/(\beta n) \rightarrow \theta c = f(P, m)$



DIRC Provides the primary π/K separation from 2.5 to 10 σ



Reconstruct the φ and K^{\ast}

Monte Carlo



OffPeak Data with center of mass energy 40 MeV below Y(4S) Resonance





The Angles and Angular Distributions (4body FS)

G Four-body Final States (KK)(Kπ) Parity $(-1)^{J}$ spin-J (Kπ) resonances

B⁰→ ϕ K*(892)⁰, K*(1430)⁰(T, S), K*(1680)⁰, K₃*(1780)⁰, K₄*(2045)⁰



$$\frac{8\pi}{9\Gamma} \frac{d^{3}\Gamma}{d\mathcal{H}_{1}d\mathcal{H}_{2}d\Phi} = \alpha_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}$$
$$+\alpha_{2} \times (1 - \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2}) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2})$$
$$+\alpha_{3} \times (1 - \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2}) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}) \cdot \cos 2\Phi$$
$$+\alpha_{4} \times (1 - \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2}) \cdot (1 - \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}) \cdot \sin 2\Phi$$
$$\alpha_{5} \times \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2}} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{1} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{2} \cdot \cos \Phi$$
$$\alpha_{6} \times \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{H}_{1}^{2}} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{1} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{H}_{2}^{2}} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{2} \cdot \sin \Phi$$

Vector Vector

□ Scalar \rightarrow Spin(J₁) + Spin(J₂)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d^{3}\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}d\Phi} = \frac{1}{\sum_{\lambda}\left|A_{\lambda}\right|^{2}}\left|\sum_{\lambda}A_{\lambda}Y_{J_{1}}^{-\lambda}(\pi-\theta_{1},-\Phi)Y_{J_{2}}^{\lambda}(\theta_{2},0)\right|^{2}$$

Phenomenology Paper Phys. Rev. D. 77, 114025 (2008), A. Datta, Y. Y. Gao, A.V. Gritsan, D. London, M. Nagashima, A. Szynkman



Five-body Final States (KK)(Kππ)

 $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K_1(1270/1400)^{\pm}, K_2(1770/1820)^{\pm} Parity J^P = (-1)^{J+1} resonances + K_2^*(1430)^{\pm}$



□ Scalar \rightarrow Spin(J₁) + Spin(J₂)

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d^{3}\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}d\Phi} = \frac{1}{\sum_{\lambda}\left|A_{\lambda}\right|^{2}}\sum_{m}\left|R_{m}\right|^{2}\left|\sum_{\lambda}A_{\lambda}Y_{J_{1}}^{-\lambda}(\pi-\theta_{1},-\Phi)d_{\lambda,m}^{J_{2}}(\theta_{2})\right|^{2}$$

 R_m : kinematic parameters depending on the $K_J \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)$ spin eigenstates, no on λ

Ideal Angular Distributions



Separate BB discrete B flavor observable

 $Q_B = +1$ for b-quark $Q_B = -1$ for bar-quark



Angular (Phases) CP Asymmetries

CP-Even transverse phase A_{CP} CP-Odd transverse phase A_{CP}

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \phi_{\parallel} &= \frac{1}{2} \arg(\bar{A}_{\parallel} A_0 / A_{\parallel} \bar{A}_0) \\ \Delta \phi_{\perp} &= \frac{1}{2} \arg(\bar{A}_{\perp} A_0 / A_{\perp} \bar{A}_0) - \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$



Extended Maximum Likelihood Fit



- For each candidate in the final data sample
 - 1. Observables $\vec{x}_j = \{m_{\text{ES}}, \Delta E, \mathcal{F}, m_{KK}, m_{K\pi}, \theta_1, \theta_2, \Phi, Q_B\}$
 - 2. Event type **j** Signal { $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$..}, Non-Resonant bkg { $B \rightarrow \phi (K\pi)$, $f_0 K^*$...}, Continuum
 - 3. Probability Density Function (PDF)s for each event type

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{i,k}(\vec{x}_j) &= \mathcal{P}_{i1}(m_{\text{ES}}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{i2}(\Delta E) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{i3}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_{i4}(m_{KK}) \cdot \delta_{kQ} \times \\ &\times \mathcal{P}_{i,k}^{\text{hel}}(m_{K\pi}, \theta_1, \theta_2, \Phi, f_L{}^k, f_{\perp}{}^k, \phi_{\perp}{}^k, \phi_{\parallel}{}^k, \delta_0{}^k) \times \mathcal{G}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \Phi) \end{aligned}$$

- 4. If $B \rightarrow \phi K^*$ appear in different final states, <u>physics quantities are forced to be the same</u>.
- 5. Interference between resonances are ignored except for the two K*(1430)
- The combined Likelihood

$$\mathcal{L} = \exp\left(-\sum_{i,k} n_{ik}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{i,k} n_{ik} \mathcal{P}_{ik}(\vec{x}_j; \vec{lpha})\right)$$

-2In (L) minimized to obtain yields, angular, CP measurements simultaneously

Interference Between Two Amplitudes



Full angular-mass PDF of Vector-Tensor (A) and Vector-Scalar (B) $A = \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} [A_0(3\cos^2\theta_1 - 1)\cos\theta_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sin 2\theta_1\sin\theta_2(A_{+1}e^{i\Phi} + A_{-1}e^{-i\Phi})]A_{\rm BW}(m_{K\pi})$ $B = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}B_0\cos\theta_2 B_{\rm LASS}(m_{K\pi})$

Total amplitude |A+B|² =>

$$\mathcal{P}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \Phi, m_{K\pi}) = f \cdot |A|^2 + (1 - f) \cdot |B|^2 + \sqrt{f(1 - f)} \cdot 2\mathcal{R}e(AB^*)$$

□ Interference parameter δ_0 measured in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi (K\pi)_0^{*0} \delta_0 \approx \pi$

Fit Validation

Blind Analysis

- ✓ Validate the fit in ~ 1000 *individual pesudo-MC experiments*
- \checkmark Inconsistency between fitted results and the generated value \rightarrow systematic uncertainties





Measurements

Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 051801(2007)
Phys. Rev. D 76, 051103(2007)
Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 201802(2007)
Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 161801 (2008)
Phys. Rev. D 78, 092008 (2008)

4body FS B⁰ -> φ (K⁺π⁻)

 $B^0 \rightarrow \phi$ (K⁺ π^-) Branching Fractions







Polarizations and CP: Vector-Vector Decay $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^*(892)$



31

Polarizations and CP: Vector-Tensor Decay $B^0 \rightarrow \phi K^*(1430)$



32

4body and 5body FS B[±] -> φ (K[±]π⁰/Kπ[±]/K[±]π⁺π⁻)

$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi(K_{S}\pi^{\pm})/(K^{\pm}\pi^{0})$ Branching Fractions



Combining all 3 B⁺ decay channels $K_s \pi^{\pm}/K^{\pm}\pi^0/K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$



$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi(K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$ Branching Fractions

Vector—Axial-Vector decay observed

5.0 σ significance including systematic uncertainty)



Mode	Yields	B.F. (10⁻⁶)	A _{CP}
φK ₁ (1270)	116 ± 26 ⁺¹⁵ -14	$6.1 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.1$	-0.23 ± 0.19 ± 0.06
φK ₁ (1400)	7 ± 39 ± 18	<3.2 @ 90% C.L.	0 C
φK [*] (1410)	$64 \pm 31^{+20}_{-31}$	< 4.8 @ 90% C.L.	0 C
φK ₂ *(1430)	64 ± 14 ± 7	8.4±1.8±1.0	-0.23±0.19±0.06
φK ₂ (1770)	90 ± 32 ⁺³⁶ -49	<16.0 @ 90% C.L.	0 C
φK ₂ (1820)	122 ± 40 ⁺²⁶ -83	<23.4 @ 90% C.L.	0 C

$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi(K_{s}\pi^{\pm})/(K^{\pm}\pi^{0})$ Polarizations



Due to limited statistics all angular A_{CP} fixed to 0

Polarization in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi(K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$



□ Vector—Axial-Vector B-> ϕ K₁, polarization $0.46^{+0.12+0.06}_{-0.13-0.07}$

naïve SM $f_L \approx 1 \Rightarrow$ another polarization puzzle!

Due to limited statistics, all angular A_{CP} fixed to 0

For all other modes, we don't observe enough events .
 f_L=0.8 is chosen as best estimate from SM.
 The polarization is varied from (0.5-0.93) to account for systematic uncertainties.

Summary

	Studied B -	$\rightarrow \varphi K_J^{(*)}$	with each K*	resonance	(0.75-2.15)	GeV listed	at PDG
--	---------------	---------------------------------	--------------	-----------	-------------	-------------------	--------

JP	Mode B ->ф	B.F. (10⁻⁶)	fL
0+	K ₀ *(1430) ⁰	4.6 ± 0.7 ±0.6	
0+	K ₀ *(1430) ⁺	7.0 ± 1.3 ±0.9	
1-	K*(892) ⁰	9.2 ± 0.7 ±0.6	0.51 ± 0.04 ± 0.02
1-	K*(892) [±]	11.2 ± 1.0 ±0.9	0.49 ± 0.05 ± 0.03
1-	K*(1410) [±]	<4.8	
1-	K*(1680) [±]	<3.5	
1+	K ₁ (1270) [±]	$6.1 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.1$	0.46(^{+0.12} _{-0.13})(^{+0.06} _{-0.07})
1+	K ₁ (1400) [±]	<3.2	
2+	K ₂ *(1430) ⁰	7.8 ± 1.1 ±0.6	0.901(^{+0.046} -0.058)± 0.037
2 ⁺	K ₂ *(1430) [±]	8.4 ± 1.8 ±0.9	0.80(^{+0.09} _{-0.10}) ± 0.03
2 -	K ₂ (1770) [±]	<16.0	
2 -	K ₂ (1820) [±]	<23.4	
3-	K*(1780) ⁰	<2.7	
4+	K*(2045) ⁰	<15.3	

Observed several polarization puzzles that can not be explained in naïve SM.

b->d Penguin Dominated Process

 $B.F = (1.28^{+0.35}_{-0.30} \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-6}$





Charmless B Decay Polarizations

HFAG: Rare B Decay Parameters: <u>http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/rare/index.html</u>



New Physics in the Penguin Loop?



New physics models cannot explain the puzzle or predict anything until we understand better the nature of the NP and reduce the QCD uncertainties significantly.

BACKUP SLIDES

Silicon Vertex Tracker Performance

Spatial Resolutions



μ.

Low p track particle identification



μμ + cosmic rays for alignment



ϕ K₁(1270/1400) Interference Effects



Generate 1000 MC datasets with phase δ(0,π,0.5π), fit with and without interf.
 The largest fit difference of the yields become the dominant systematic error σN

 $\sigma N(\phi K_1(1270)) = 10.3 \sigma N(\phi K_1(1400)) = 11.0$ (no effect on signf.)

$B^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi K^{*}(1430)(K_{S}\pi^{\pm}/K^{\pm}\pi^{0}/K^{\pm}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$ Joint Fit

□ Different FS decays $K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K_S \pi^{\pm}/K^{\pm}\pi^0/K^{\pm}\pi^{-\pi^-}$ $(K\pi)_0^* \rightarrow K_S \pi^{\pm}/K^{\pm}\pi^0$ Constrain same b.f. and polarization in all FS by combining likelihood in all channels

Yields in different FS can be related by the relative efficiencies

$$r_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_{K_5\pi^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon_{K^{\pm}\pi^0}} (\varphi K_2^*) \quad r_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_{K_5\pi^{\pm}}}{\varepsilon_{K^{\pm}\pi^0}} (\varphi (K\pi)_0^*) r_3 = \frac{\varepsilon_{K\pi\pi}}{\varepsilon_{K\pi^0}} (\varphi K_2^*)$$

Directly fit two parameters:

$$f_1 = \frac{n_{\rm VT1}}{n_{\rm VT1} + n_{\rm VS1}} \quad n_{\rm tot} = n_{\rm VT1} + n_{\rm VS1} + n_{\rm VT2} + n_{\rm VS2}$$

"1,2,3" subscripts are for $K_s\pi^{\pm},K^{\pm}\pi^0$, and $K\pi\pi$ channels respectively

Calculate the yields in each final state, and propagate the errors accordingly

Implementation is further complicated due to combination of VT and VS decays

Statistical significance due to nuisance parameter

D Nuisance parameter f_L when estimating signf. $\phi K_1(1270) / K_2^*(1430)$

With 1(2) dof change assumption

 $\phi K_1(1270)$: 5.5 σ (5.1 σ) $\phi K_2^*(1430)$: 6.2 σ (5.8 σ)

Start with no signal and see how often can we get the observed significance with 62,000 MC datasets, and compare with the statistical expectation



Full test till 5.5σ requires 10 million jobs, ~40 days, B->φK*(892) show a similar trend till 5σ

Best Guess φK₁(1270) 5.3σ φK₂*(1430) 6.0σ