CMS SUSY Searches Yesterday and Tomorrow Cornell University - 1 February 2012

Steven Lowette University of California, Santa Barbara

# CMS

# 2010: Rediscovery of the Standard Model









- CMS delivered excellent results in very short time
  - → years of preparations paid off
  - → very prompt commissioning with collisions
  - → SM validation deep into new phase space
  - all measurements coincided with SM prediction



+ in addition many searches were done, all validating many corners of the SM



- the SM has shortcomings though
  - notorious one: the hierarchy problem



need extreme fine-tuning to keep standard model valid all the way up to the Planck scale
 phrased differently: why is gravity so much weaker than the other forces?



- over the years many solutions to the hierarchy problem have been proposed
  - → supersymmetry, extra dimensions, little Higgs models, technicolor, ...
- supersymmetry (SUSY)
  - solution to the hierarchy problem:
     SUSY partners cancel the quadratic dependence on the cut-off scale
  - > whole spectrum of new particles to be discovered
  - to avoid rapid proton decay an extra symmetry is commonly imposed: R parity
  - implies that SUSY particles are always produced in pairs
  - → hence, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable!
    - eg. the neutralino
  - SUSY harbors an excellent dark-matter candidate







- with the early LHC data the first target was to search for SUSY produced with a high cross section
  - rare processes not yet accessible
  - → and backgrounds sometimes huge
- strong production dominates
  - squarks and gluinos carry QCD color charge
     and LHC collides colored quarks and gluons
- squarks and gluinos decay directly or through lighter SUSY particles into jets, leptons, and LSPs
  - → always with jets, due to colored production
- the decay chains are very diverse, and determined by the SUSY particle spectrum
  - $\rightarrow$  we don't know the spectrum
  - → this is just one example: "LMO"







- \* searching in this new energy regime, we need to keep our eyes wide open
  - commonality is missing energy (MET)
     from the dark matter particle
  - > inclusive selections at first: use all the signal you can
- generic signatures rather than specific models
  - → search for MET + X
  - X = jets, single lepton, opposite-sign dileptons sams-sign dileptons, multileptons, photons, b's, taus
  - $\rightarrow$  and combinations of those

### • think discovery!

- → need to convince that you know your data
  - new detector, new phase space
- → to claim an excess, you need to prove you control your backgrounds
  - CMS has very successful simulation tools, but we're probing unexplored territory
  - estimate backgrounds as much as possible from the data itself
- need to show robustness of the results
  - many analyses and methods to cross check each other







- searching in this new energy regime, we need to keep our eyes wide open
  - commonality is missing energy (MET)
     from the dark matter particle
  - inclusive selections at first: use all the signal you can
- generic signatures rather than specific models
  - → search for MET + jets + no leptons
  - →X = jets,
- → most sensitivity early on
- → and comb

sams-sigr

- think discovery!
  - → need to convince that you know your data
    - new detector, new phase space
  - → to claim an excess, you need to prove you control your backgrounds
    - CMS has very successful simulation tools, but we're probing unexplored territory
    - estimate backgrounds as much as possible from the data itself
  - need to show robustness of the results
    - many analyses and methods to cross check each other



### New Physics Search with MET + Jets



Jet pT: 468 Ge

### JHEP 08 (2011) 155 - arXiv:1106.4503

- search observables: invisible and visible energy
  - → MHT → MET from jets
  - $\rightarrow$  HT  $\rightarrow$  scalar sum of jet transverse momenta
- aim for generic inclusive selection
  - $\rightarrow$  MHT > 150 GeV, HT > 300 GeV
  - → 3 central jets
  - these jets not aligned with the MHT
  - $\Rightarrow$  isolated electron and muon veto
- 2 search regions
  - → high HT: HT > 500 GeV
     → high MHT: MHT > 250 GeV

- $\rightarrow$  central "massive" production
- $\rightarrow$  suppress QCD multijet background
- $\rightarrow$  reduce W and top with real MHT
- $\rightarrow$  sensitive to decays with mostly visible energy

Jet pT: 393 GeV

Jet pT: 57 Ge

MHT: 693 GeV

Jet pT: 34 GeV

- $\rightarrow$  yields high background rejection
- peculiarity: in this search we predict the full kinematics of all background events
  - → makes the analysis extra ready for discovery
  - → flexibility to change selections to focus the search
  - \* excellent starting point for the characterization of just-discovered new physics



# Backgrounds to the MET + Jets Search



#### QCD multijet background

- multijet events with large jet mismeasurement, or with neutrino from b-quark
- > predicted with novel method, using jet resolutions to smear "rebalanced" seed events

#### W boson and top quark background

- → leptonic decays with real missing energy
- → W → electron or muon, where the lepton is 'lost' (eg. overlapping a jet)
- → W → tau, where the tau decays hadronically and looks like a jet
- Predict from 1 muon events by substituting the muon with MET or a tau-jet

#### • $Z \rightarrow$ neutrino background (invisible Z)

- → looks just like signal: irreducible
- most precise prediction from photon+jets
  - using well-controlled theory correction







#### no excess observed, unfortunately...

- \* excellent match between background predictions and the observed data
- strong limits on new physics as a result
  - in the CMSSM this analysis reached among the strongest limits, in particular in parameter space with residual QCD background
- in the summer of 2011 the search was re-loaded with 30 times more data (PAS-SUS-11-004)

#### new challenges and improvements

- high luminosity requires stringent online selectivity
  - at the forefront of Particle-Flow and PU-subtraction trigger improvements
  - indispensable to preserve hadronic physics reach in 2012
- → evolving to a shape analysis in HT-MHT



#### **CMS** Preliminary





- the search was also interpreted in so-called simplified models with only generic heavy colored particles and a dark matter candidate particle
  - results presented as cross section upper limit
  - allows theorists to more easily interpret our results in other models
  - → allows us experimentalists to learn about the analysis' behavior in corners of phase space







- multitude of generic searches in final states with missing energy
- no sign of new physics yet
- overall status for the CMSSM in summer 2011:



• all analyses currently being updated using the full 2011 dataset





- to further improve the analyses which search on the kinematic tails, we need:
  - → an increase of the collider's energy
  - → or a big jump in amount of collected data
- but the speed at which we collect new data at the LHC is not exponential anymore
  - → projections foresee 15/fb for 2012, maybe a bit more
  - these tail-searches eventually become long-term projects
- one way forward is to expand our field of view
- optimize searches towards uncovered areas in phase space
  - → compressed spectra
    - tough to trigger on, but innovative ideas are being worked on
    - ISR dependence requires solid modeling in signal
  - → long decay chains
    - high jet multiplicity, with important QCD background component
    - ATLAS has already a generic multijet search (see backup)
- add more "dimensions" to the existing searches
  - $\Rightarrow$  eg. adding b-quarks or taus





#### 3<sup>rd</sup> generation is special

- expected light, stabilizing the Higgs
  mixing because of large top Yukawa
  couples strongest to Higgs/Higgsino
- final states with b's and MET arise from direct stop/sbottom production, or from gluino decays
- a hadronic search with b-jets with 2010 data
  JHEP 07 (2011) 113 arXiv:1106.3272
- also in 2011 searches have been inclusive so far
   Juse b-enriched models (eg. "LM9" in CMSSM) as a guideline
- two all-hadronic analyses available with 1.1 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - → MT2+b (PAS-SUS-11-005)
  - → MET+b (PAS-SUS-11-006)
- single-lepton and same-sign dilepton search with b's also in the works
- also signatures with taus actively being searched for







- MET used as search variable
- search both with loose and tight HT and MET
- search both with >=1 and >=2 b-tags
- further selections are similar to the previous all-hadronic search
  - → at least 3 jets pT>50GeV
  - → MET not aligned to jets
    - uses novel resolution-normalized  $\Delta \phi$ (jet,MET) variable
  - → lepton veto







#### top (and W) background dominant

- → use MET shape in 1-lepton control sample as template for 0-lepton case
- Cross check like in MET+jets search (hadronic taus) and with W polarization (lost leptons)

#### Z→neutrinos background: irreducible

- → use Z→l+l- control sample
- → treating leptons as MET
- $\rightarrow$  extrapolation into the search region

#### • QCD background negligible

→ estimated exploiting absence of correlation between novel resolution-normalized  $\Delta \phi$ (jet,MET) variable and MET

#### challenge with high-pT b-tagging

- → up to recently very large uncertainty at high jet pT
- $\rightarrow$  but new measurements are underway, using the large datasamples collected
- > based on samples with high b-jet purity from top decays
  - top quark as a calibration tool

#### background predictions in all search regions agree with data



### MET + b Results



- interpretation in CMSSM (at  $tan\beta$ =40)
- also interpreted in simplified model
   → in this case we used pp → gg → bbbbχ<sup>0</sup>χ<sup>0</sup>
   → put cross section upper limits
  - for this production mode







### Gluino and Squark Search Summary



CMS Preliminary

Ranges of exclusion limits for gluinos and squarks, varying  $m( ilde{\chi}^0)$ 



For limits on  $m(\tilde{g}), m(\tilde{q}) > >m(\tilde{g})$  (and vice versa).  $\sigma^{\text{prod}} = \sigma^{\text{NLO-QCD}}$ .  $m(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}), m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) \equiv \frac{m(\tilde{g}) + m(\tilde{\chi}^0)}{2}$ .  $m(\tilde{\chi}^0)$  is varied from 0 GeV/ $c^2$  (dark blue) to  $m(\tilde{g}) - 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  (light blue).





- another way forward with SUSY searches is to optimize for other than squark/gluino production modes
  - → this does not necessarily introduce more model dependence
- with the large data samples available, rarer SUSY processes become accessible
  - → leads to softer signatures
  - reeds new, dedicated, exclusive strategies







#### direct stop production

→ with ~5fb<sup>-1</sup> of data on tape we're in the game
→ m(stop) = 200 / 400 / 600 GeV
→ # stop pairs: ~60000 / ~1000 / ~60

#### stop decay

- → depends on mass splittings with other particles
- → 2 decay modes as starting points
  - the final state is actually the same: WWbb+MET
  - difference in presence of top





- intermediate particles can also be off-shell: 3-body and 4-body decays
- → if not much is kinematically allowed, then loop-induced decay: stop  $\rightarrow$  c  $\chi^{\circ}$ 
  - these decays can be very hard to dig out of the background...





#### the lighter the stop, the more the events look like ttbar

- $\Rightarrow$  and if it's light, there is not much MET to play with
- in the extreme of a stop nearly degenerate with top, and a light LSP, the only thing observable is a deviation from the top cross section
- highly-efficient trigger not straightforward
  - especially hadronic, but also single-lepton
- the heavier the stop, the less selection inefficiency one can afford
  - → every inefficiency needs to be well-thought through
  - → just a question of cross section
  - → eg. top reconstruction comes with substantial inefficiency
    - and not useful in decays without on-shell tops
- in general, the stop search is systematically limited
  - $\rightarrow$  S/N is typically well below 1
  - → need excellent control of the backgrounds
    - systematics can hurt in case background is large: significance ~  $S/sqrt(S+B+\Delta B^2)$

#### signal contamination could be an issue

> depending on the background estimation methods





#### → trigger: lepton+jets

- not very efficient actually
- → 1 isolated lepton
- → 4 or more jets
- → 1 or more b-tagged jets

#### • but how to suppress top? use the MET vector!

- require high |MET|
  require high MT, above the W peak
  eg. MET > 100 GeV
  eg. MT > 150 GeV
- signal becomes accessible on MT tail
- ttbar  $\rightarrow$  dilepton is the main background
- two components, both reducible
  - → hadronically decaying tau
  - → 1 lepton lost
- key issue for this analysis: suppress the remaining background, while keeping the systematics small



# All-Hadronic Stop Search

#### typical stop selection

- → at least 6 jets
- → at least 1 b-tagged jet
- → MET > 250 GeV
- → MET and leading jets not aligned
- → lepton veto
- all-hadronic search is potentially more sensitive
  - Iarger branching ratio than single lepton
    no MT cut (though must go to higher MET)
- but harder in terms of backgrounds
- similar to the inclusive MET + jets search
  - → top is dominant, also here
  - → but QCD is non-negligible at MET ~ 150 GeV
    - and is very sensitive to pileup
  - $\rightarrow$  also Z  $\rightarrow$  neutrinos plays a subdominant role
  - → ttZ, Z → neutrinos is at the few percent level
- but high jet multiplicity and presence of b-jet pose problems



### All-Hadronic Stop Search



- main backgrounds are from single-lepton ttbar
   also here hadronic tau and lost lepton
- effort to further reduce these backgrounds
  - $\Rightarrow$  innovative directional isolation
  - → indirect tau veto (using MT)
- also here systematics limited
  - → goal of 10% (or less)
  - > developed for taus MC-in-data embedding of hadronically decaying tau
- analysis in full swing, full updates expected soon



### The Fate of SUSY?



- so far we were just pushing the limits up
- now we plan to expand into different production and uncovered phase space
- but the question many people ask: isn't SUSY ruled out already?
- rephrasing it: has SUSY already lost its power of solving the hierarchy problem?
  - The higher the SUSY scale gets pushed, the larger the corrections to the Higgs mass
  - Juntil a new hierarchy problem arises
  - can minimal SUSY still be a natural theory?
- starting from the naturalness a few very general requirements can be imposed on standard SUSY models to avoid fine-tuning
  - ⇒ gluino below ~ 1.5 TeV
  - → stop mass < 400 GeV
  - Higgs around and about 120 GeV







things seem to look good for a low-mass Higgs...

→ this is the major LHC target for 2012







#### what about a gluino below ~ 1.5 TeV

- → still perfectly possible
- → current inclusive analyses will keep pushing the limits
- $\rightarrow$  eventually need high energy and lumi to constrain further
- → there will always be corners of phase space where a lighter gluino can keep hiding

#### what about a stop mass < 400 GeV</li>

we have currently no direct production constraints from LHC whatsoever
we will get a first look soon with the 2011 dataset of 5/fb
with the 2012 dataset we should be able to exclude a natural-SUSY stop

or start seeing first evidence of it

unless nature chose a very peculiar compressed-type of spectrum?

#### • in such a case, we need:

- → more luminosity (and energy)
- rew avenues, like looking for hard ISR jets recoiling against the sparticles
- → new analysis techniques
- → good triggers
- → time



### Conclusions

- 2010 was an exciting year for SUSY at the LHC
  - Iarge phase space opening up from jump in energy
    ready for discovery very early on

#### 2011 was another great year for SUSY

analyses updated with factor 30 more data, and another time with another factor 4
 extensions with b's, taus, new analyses, new methods, etc.

#### • 2012 to become a superb SUSY millesime?

- → start targeting compressed spectra and long decay chains
- $\rightarrow$  3<sup>rd</sup> generation searches ramping up
- → direct chargino/neutralino production
- → direct probing of naturalness









# ATLAS Multijet Search



- MET + 6 or 8 jets
- expected to increase the sensitivity to long cascade decays of gluinos, including multi-top final states
- expected limit curves show better or equal sensitivity at higher m0 compared to >= 2 or 4 jet search



