

Recent Advances in Electron Cloud Buildup Measurements and Models at CESR



Jim Crittenden and Stephen Poprocki

C-AD Seminar Brookhaven National Laboratory 16 October 2018



Part 1 (JAC)

Simulations of synchrotron-radiation-induced electron production in the CESR vacuum chamber wall

Part 2 (STP)

Measurements and model validation of electron-cloudinduced betatron tune shifts in the CESRTA, CHESS and CHESS-U transition lattices and predictions for CHESS-U <u>operation</u>



Electron cloud since the 1960's F. Zimmerman overview talk at ECLOUD12





PhotoelectronsSecondary electrons

Courtesy J. Sikora

Topical worldwide since the mid-1990s

1) Identified as the source of instabilities in the positron rings of the B-factories PEP-II and KEKB, leading to extensive post-design mitigation strategies

2) Recognition that synchrotron radiation rates at the LHC are comparable to those in positron rings because of the high proton energy (7 TeV). Cryo load now at capacity.

(Retroactively recognized as the likely source of hitherto mysterious instabilities observed in storage rings since the 1960s)

Topical at CESR since 2007

 The CESRTA project tasked with developing mitigation strategies for the positron damping ring of the International Linear Collider (JAC et al, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 17, 031002 (2014))
The CHESS-U project designed to begin operation with a single positron beam



- The space-charge electric field of the cloud acts like an electrostatic lens. A positively charged beam attracts cloud into the beam bunches, resulting in a focusing effect in both horizontal and vertical planes, increasing the betatron tunes above those defined by the design optics, i.e. by the quadrupole magnet settings.
- Precise measurements of the change in tune provide information on the density profile of the cloud integrated over the orbit around the ring.
- This density profile evolves in a complicated way along the length of a train of beam bunches, requiring sophisticated numerical modeling. The comparison of modeled and measured tunes for each bunch provides a way to assess the accuracy of our model.





Motivation for a physical (defensible) model for electron production

- Observations and Predictions at CESRTA and Outlook for ILC, G.Dugan et al, ECLOUD12
- The CESR Test Accelerator Electron Cloud Research Program: Phase I Report, M.A.Palmer et al, CLNS-12-2084 (2013)
- Investigation into Electron Cloud Effects in the International Linear Collider Positron Damping Ring, J.A.Crittenden et al, Phys.Rev. ST Accel. Beams, Vol 17, 031002 (2014)
- J.A.Crittenden, THPAF26, IPAC18
- S.Poprocki, THPAF25, IPAC18
- Stephen Poprocki, ECLOUD18
- I. Extensive CESRTA measurements of tune shifts and beam sizes in 2016 and 2017 at 2.1 and 5.3 GeV with varying bunch populations, together with improved data-taking methods and analysis techniques pointed to the need for more sophisticated modeling (see Part 2).
- II. While the necessity of a detailed model of synchrotron radiation photon scattering inside the CESR beam pipe had been recognized and addressed, new information on roughness, material and coating had not been taken into account.
- III. The assumptions in the electron cloud buildup model for the dependence of quantum efficiency on azimuthal absorbed photon location remained coarse and ad hoc, as did the photoelectron production energy distributions.
- IV.Over the past decade, much progress in modeling low-energy atomic processes has been implemented in the CERN-maintained Geant4 Monte Carlo simulation code, largely driven by medical physics applications.

We describe here the implementation of a Geant4 postprocessor for the Cornell Synrad3D photon tracking code.



Importance of photoelectron energies Interplay with attractive force from beam bunches

Energy Gain in an Electron Cloud During the Passage of a Bunch, J. Scott Berg, LHC note 97 (1997)







I) Photon tracking model extensions

- 1) Effect of microgrooves
- 2) Dependence on pipe material
- 3) Effect of thin surface layers

II) Modeling of electron production

- 1) Photoelectric and atomic de-excitation processes
- 2) Dependence on beam-pipe materials

III) Combined results for input to electron cloud buildup model

- 1) Electron production rate distributions
- 2) Electron production energy distributions



Implementation of 10-µm grooves in the CESR vacuum chamber model

Measurements of x-ray scattering from accelerator vacuum chamber surfaces, and comparison with an analytical model, G. F. Dugan, K. G. Sonnad, R. Cimino, T. Ishibashi, and F. Schäfers, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 18, 040704 (2015)



Small grooves observed in AFM measurements result in greatly enhanced out-of-plane photon scattering when implemented in the Synrad3D vacuum chamber wall model.

(The apparently curved trajectories in XY projection are consequences of the longitudinal pipe bend in the dipole magnet.)





Photon rate [photons/m/e/rad]

Azimuthal distribution of photon absorption sites on the vacuum chamber wall





The effect of grooves is to enhance photoelectron production on the top and bottom of the beam-pipe, increasing the contribution of dipole regions to the tune shift and emittance growth calculations owing to the tight spiraling of cloud electrons around the vertical magnetic field lines guiding them into the beam.



Reflectivity dependence on material



Reflectivity derived from Henke LBNL tables for various vacuum chamber surface materials

Determines photon absorption site distributions, absorbed photon energies and incident wall angles



Product of quantum efficiency and photon rate used as input to electron cloud buildup model (e-/m/e+/radian vs azimuthal angle)



Detailed model of CESR vacuum chamber

Simulating synchrotron radiation in accelerators including diffuse and specular reflections, G. Dugan and D. Sagan, Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams 20, 020708 (2017)



10⁶ photons tracked around the 768-m CESR ring Vacuum chamber model includes gate valves, bellows, etc



Photon tracking results 5.3 GeV e+ beam





<u>Characteristics of</u> <u>absorbed photons</u>

Hot spots around ring due to vacuum chamber geometry

Diffuse scattering and many reflections result in absorption sites on top and bottom of vacuum chamber



Absorbed photon energies and grazing angles 5.3 GeV e+ beam



Dramatic dependence of photon energies and incident angles on azimuthal absorption location. We distinguish three azimuthal regions for generating electron energies . Absorption site and energy distributions are averaged over dipole and field-free regions separately for input to the electron cloud buildup modeling.



QE dependence on photon energy and incident angle

14/20



Geant4 photoabsorption cross sections show important dependence on absorbed photon energy and angle of incidence on the wall.

The photon tracking code Synrad3D provides this information on a photon-by-photon basis.



Evaluated Atomic Data Library in Geant4 -- comprehensive, detailed --

non-radiative transitions

subshell parameters



Geant4 includes rates for the photo-ionization and atomic de-excitation processes fluorescence, Auger and Coster-Kronig electron emission. Vacuum chamber material composition is defined in Geant4 input file.



Superposition of 300 Geant4 photon absorption events



Zoom in on the 5-nm CO layer. Low-energy photons interact predominantly in the CO layer. High energy photons are absorbed most frequently in the aluminum

Two classes of final-state electrons can be distinguished: 1) photoproduced electrons with momenta which "remember" that of the photon. These enter the vacuum chamber at low energy via multiple scattering, and 2) electrons produced via atomi-de-excitation. These are emitted symmetrically and can carry high energy, i.e. the energy corresponding to the difference of atomic binding energy levels.





Number of electrons per beam particle per meter provided to electron cloud buildup modeling in 720 azimuthal location bins averaged over dipole and field-free regions separately. These values replace the overall photon absorption rate and QE values hitherto used in electron cloud buildup models.

Electron production energies 5.3 GeV e+ beam

Dipole regions

Strong dependence on azimuthal production location

Reflection selects low energy photons

Electron energy distributions provided to electron cloud buildup modeling for each of the three azimuthal regions separately for field-free and dipole regions of the ring

Improved measurements and data analysis for CESRTA beam dynamics motivated detailed modeling development

Photon tracking code updated with sophisticated vacuum chamber model

Geant4-based electron production model implemented as post-processor for photon tracking code

Combined model validated using CESRTA tune shift and beam size measurements (see STP Part 2)

Generalized implementation of means of choosing vacuum chamber surface properties and materials enables widespread applications

This work addresses the CESRTA project goal of providing validated modeling tools for present and future accelerator projects

Applications in progress

